

**1** Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$ . So  $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a} \geq c$  and  $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{a} \geq c$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}$ , so  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{a} &= [\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})]^\top \mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{x}^\top + t(\mathbf{y}^\top - \mathbf{x}^\top)]\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a} + t(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a}) \\ &= (1-t)\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a} + t\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{a} \geq (1-t)c + tc = c, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\mathbf{z} \in S$ . We conclude that  $\overline{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \subseteq S$ , and therefore  $S$  is a convex set.

**2** Since

$$V = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ a & 0 & 0 & b \\ b & c & 0 & c \end{array} \right] \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \text{Span} \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\},$$

the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\}$$

is a basis for  $V$ , and therefore  $\dim(V) = 3$ .

**3** Find an equivalent matrix to  $\mathbf{H}$  that is in row-echelon form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &\xrightarrow[r_2+r_3]{-r_1+r_4} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 & 3 & -4 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \leftrightarrow r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{2r_1+r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 \leftrightarrow r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The row-echelon form has three nonzero row vectors, which implies that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}) = 3$ .

**4** Behold, for  $T$  be nonlinear:

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

**5** Let  $p \in \text{Ker}(D^2)$ , so  $D^2(p) = 0$ . Hence  $D(D(p)) = 0$ , which indicates that  $D(p)$  must be a constant polynomial, and so  $D(p) = a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now  $p = \int a \, dx = ax + b$  for some arbitrary  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$ . Conversely, if  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$ , so that  $p = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$D^2(p) = D(D(p)) = D(ax + b) = D(a) = 0,$$

showing that  $p \in \text{Ker}(D^2)$ . Therefore  $\text{Ker}(D^2) = \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$ .

In similar fashion we find that  $\text{Ker}(D^n) = \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ , the subspace of all polynomials in  $x$  with real coefficients and degree at most  $n - 1$ .

**6** The only solution the system has is the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbf{0} = [0, 0, 0]^T$ , and so the only basis for the space of solutions is  $\emptyset$  (the empty set). Thus the dimension of the space of solutions is 0, by definition.

**7** Let  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4)$  and  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3)$  denote the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , respectively. Since

$$L(\mathbf{e}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L(\mathbf{e}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L(\mathbf{e}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L(\mathbf{e}_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

the  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}$ -matrix for  $L$  is

$$[L]_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}} = \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathcal{E}} & [\mathbf{e}_2]_{\mathcal{E}} & [\mathbf{e}_3]_{\mathcal{E}} & [\mathbf{e}_4]_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**8a** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $P(P(\mathbf{v})) = (P \circ P)(\mathbf{v}) = P(\mathbf{v})$ , showing that  $P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Img}(P)$ . Now,  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})) + P(\mathbf{v})$ , where

$$P(\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$$

shows that  $\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker}(P)$ . Thus  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})) + P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker}(P) + \text{Img}(P)$ , so that  $V \subseteq \text{Ker}(P) + \text{Img}(P)$ . The reverse containment is clear, and therefore  $V = \text{Ker}(P) + \text{Img}(P)$ .

**8b** Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(P) \cap \text{Img}(P)$ , so  $P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  and there exists some  $\mathbf{u} \in V$  such that  $P(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}$ . Now,

$$\mathbf{v} = P(\mathbf{u}) = P(P(\mathbf{u})) = P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0},$$

and thus  $\mathbf{v} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since  $P(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , it is clear that  $\{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq \text{Ker}(P) \cap \text{Img}(P)$ , and therefore  $\text{Ker}(P) \cap \text{Img}(P) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**9** Suppose  $L(x, y) = [0, 0]$ . Then  $2x + y = 0$  and  $3x - 5y = 0$ . These two equations form a system that has only one solution:  $[x, y] = [0, 0]$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(L) = \{[0, 0]\}$ , which implies that  $L$  is injective, and hence  $L$  is bijective (one-to-one and onto) since it is a linear operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2}$ . A bijective function always has an inverse function, and therefore  $L$  is invertible.

**10** Writing  $I = -L - 2L = L \circ (-L - 2I)$  and  $I = (-L - 2I) \circ L$ , and so  $-L - 2I$  is the inverse function for  $L$ . Therefore  $L$  is invertible.

Another way: suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(L)$ , so that  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Then, since  $L(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{0} = O(\mathbf{v}) = (L^2 + 2L + I)(\mathbf{v}) = L(L(\mathbf{v})) + 2L(\mathbf{v}) + I(\mathbf{v}) = L(\mathbf{0}) + 2\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}.$$

Thus  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , showing that  $\text{Ker}(L) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and therefore  $L$  is invertible.