

**1** Find  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a(1, 1) + b(-1, 3) = (0, 1),$$

so  $a - b = 0$  and  $a + 3b = 1$ . We find  $a = b = 1/4$ . Now, by linearity,

$$L(0, 1) = L\left(\frac{1}{4}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{4}(-1, 3)\right) = \frac{1}{4}L(1, 1) + \frac{1}{4}L(-1, 3) = \frac{1}{4}(2, -1) + \frac{1}{4}(1, 2) = \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

**2** Suppose  $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{0} = L(\mathbf{0}) = L\left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{v}_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k L(\mathbf{v}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

by linearity properties, and since  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$  are linearly independent we conclude that  $c_k = 0$  for all  $k$ .

**3** By the Rank-Nullity Theorem,  $\dim(\text{Img } L) + \dim(\text{Ker } L) = \dim V$ . Since  $\text{Img } L$  is a subspace of  $W$ ,  $\dim(\text{Img } L) \leq \dim W$ . Thus  $\dim(\text{Img } L) < \dim V$ , and it follows that  $\dim(\text{Ker } L) > 0$ . That is,  $\dim(\text{Ker } L) \geq 1$ , and we conclude that  $\text{Ker } L \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**4** Let

$$\mathbf{v}_1^\top = [1, 1, -2, 3, 4], \quad \mathbf{v}_2^\top = [1, 0, 0, 2, 0], \quad \mathbf{v}_3^\top = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0],$$

and let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the  $3 \times 5$  matrix with row vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1^\top, \mathbf{v}_2^\top, \mathbf{v}_3^\top$ . Three column vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and so  $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 3$ . Let  $U$  be the subspace in question, so

$$U = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0, \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0, \mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

By the Matrix Rank-Nullity Theorem

$$\dim U = \dim(\text{Nul } \mathbf{A}) = \text{nullity } \mathbf{A} = \dim \mathbb{R}^5 - \text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 5 - 3 = 2.$$

**5** If  $\mathcal{E}_4$  and  $\mathcal{E}_3$  are the standard bases for  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , respectively, then

$$[L]_{\mathcal{E}_4 \mathcal{E}_3} = \left[ [L(\mathbf{e}_1)]_{\mathcal{E}_3} \quad \cdots \quad [L(\mathbf{e}_4)]_{\mathcal{E}_3} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**6** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Now,  $(P \circ P)(\mathbf{v}) = P(\mathbf{v})$  shows that  $P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Img } P$ . Also

$$P(\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0},$$

which shows  $\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker } P$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})] + P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P,$$

so that  $V \subseteq \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P$ . That  $\text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P \subseteq V$  follows from the usual closure properties of a vector space, and therefore  $V = \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P$ .

**7** Suppose  $L(x, y) = (0, 0)$ . Then  $2x + y = 0$  and  $3x - 5y = 0$ , which are only satisfied if  $x = y = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Ker } L = \{(0, 0)\}$ , which implies  $L$  is injective, hence surjective, hence bijective, and therefore invertible.

**8** Setting  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  and  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ , the transition matrix is  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{BC}} = [[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{C}} \quad [\mathbf{v}_2]_{\mathcal{C}}]$ . Here  $[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{C}} = [a \ b]^{\top}$  for  $a, b$  such that  $a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1$ , and  $[\mathbf{v}_2]_{\mathcal{C}} = [c \ d]^{\top}$  for  $c, d$  such that  $c\mathbf{w}_1 + d\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2$ . Solving the two systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} a + b = 1 \\ -a + b = 1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} c + d = 2 \\ -c + d = 0 \end{cases}$$

yields  $a = 0, b = 1, c = 1,$  and  $d = 1,$  and so

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{BC}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$