

1 Find a and b such that

$$a(1, 1) + b(-1, 3) = (0, 1),$$

so $a - b = 0$ and $a + 3b = 1$. We find $a = b = 1/4$. Now, by linearity,

$$L(0, 1) = L\left(\frac{1}{4}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{4}(-1, 3)\right) = \frac{1}{4}L(1, 1) + \frac{1}{4}L(-1, 3) = \frac{1}{4}(2, -1) + \frac{1}{4}(1, 2) = \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

2 Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$. Then

$$\mathbf{0} = L(\mathbf{0}) = L\left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{v}_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k L(\mathbf{v}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

by linearity properties, and since $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$ are linearly independent we conclude that $c_k = 0$ for all k .

3 By the Rank-Nullity Theorem, $\dim(\text{Img } L) + \dim(\text{Ker } L) = \dim V$. Since $\text{Img } L$ is a subspace of W , $\dim(\text{Img } L) \leq \dim W$. Thus $\dim(\text{Img } L) < \dim V$, and it follows that $\dim(\text{Ker } L) > 0$. That is, $\dim(\text{Ker } L) \geq 1$, and we conclude that $\text{Ker } L \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

4 Let

$$\mathbf{v}_1^\top = [1, 1, -2, 3, 4], \quad \mathbf{v}_2^\top = [1, 0, 0, 2, 0], \quad \mathbf{v}_3^\top = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0],$$

and let \mathbf{A} be the 3×5 matrix with row vectors $\mathbf{v}_1^\top, \mathbf{v}_2^\top, \mathbf{v}_3^\top$. Three column vectors of \mathbf{A} are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^3 , and so $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 3$. Let U be the subspace in question, so

$$U = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0, \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0, \mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

By the Matrix Rank-Nullity Theorem

$$\dim U = \dim(\text{Nul } \mathbf{A}) = \text{nullity } \mathbf{A} = \dim \mathbb{R}^5 - \text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 5 - 3 = 2.$$

5 If \mathcal{E}_4 and \mathcal{E}_3 are the standard bases for \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively, then

$$[L]_{\mathcal{E}_4 \mathcal{E}_3} = \left[[L(\mathbf{e}_1)]_{\mathcal{E}_3} \quad \cdots \quad [L(\mathbf{e}_4)]_{\mathcal{E}_3} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

6 Let $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Now, $(P \circ P)(\mathbf{v}) = P(\mathbf{v})$ shows that $P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Img } P$. Also

$$P(\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(P(\mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v}) - P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0},$$

which shows $\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker } P$. Thus

$$\mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{v} - P(\mathbf{v})] + P(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P,$$

so that $V \subseteq \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P$. That $\text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P \subseteq V$ follows from the usual closure properties of a vector space, and therefore $V = \text{Ker } P + \text{Img } P$.

7 Suppose $L(x, y) = (0, 0)$. Then $2x + y = 0$ and $3x - 5y = 0$, which are only satisfied if $x = y = 0$. Thus $\text{Ker } L = \{(0, 0)\}$, which implies L is injective, hence surjective, hence bijective, and therefore invertible.

8 Setting $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$, the transition matrix is $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{BC}} = [[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{C}} \quad [\mathbf{v}_2]_{\mathcal{C}}]$. Here $[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{C}} = [a \ b]^{\top}$ for a, b such that $a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1$, and $[\mathbf{v}_2]_{\mathcal{C}} = [c \ d]^{\top}$ for c, d such that $c\mathbf{w}_1 + d\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2$. Solving the two systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} a + b = 1 \\ -a + b = 1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} c + d = 2 \\ -c + d = 0 \end{cases}$$

yields $a = 0, b = 1, c = 1$, and $d = 1$, and so

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{BC}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$